

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMER United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N	
10/788,554	8,554 02/26/2004		Sheng-Ming Chang	14256 B	7044	
36672	7590	11/03/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CHARLES E. BAXLEY, ESQ. 90 JOHN STREET				THOMAS, DAVID B		
THIRD FLOOR			-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10038				3723		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: \_

Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/788,554

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are replete with limitations lacking antecedent basis, e.g. the inner circumference, its front end, the front and rear limiting positions, etc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 2, as well as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmidt (DE 4243650 A1) in view of Huang (6,154,108).

Schmidt ('650) discloses a connecting shaft device for screws having a sliding sleeve, the sleeve having slant grooves inside, a steel ball, a ring groove, a connecting shaft having a groove for sleeving an elastic element and a tool head connecting hole, and a tool head having a concave dot for positioning the steel ball. Thus, Schmidt ('650) discloses the claimed invention except for the provision of a magnetic element on the screw engaging end of the device, for temporarily positioning a screw. Huang ('108)

Application/Control Number: 10/788,554

Art Unit: 3723

discloses a connecting shaft device for screws. Huang teaches that it would be desirable to provide a magnetic element having a through hole on the inner circumference of the sliding sleeve, in order to attract a fastener to the tool and for allowing the fastener to be easily driven by the tool. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the screw engaging end of the device of Schmidt ('650) by appropriately extending the length of the sliding sleeve in order to appropriate a magnetic element, in order to attract a fastener to the tool and for allowing the fastener to be easily driven by the tool, as Huang ('108) teaches that the provision of the magnet as a means to directly hold the fastener is an improvement over the prior art means of relying upon a magnetized driver bit.

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chiang, Clark, Fleckenstein, Hillinger, Li, Lin, Liu, Vasudeva et al. each disclose a connecting shaft device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David B. Thomas whose telephone number is (703) 308-4250. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3723

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David B. Thomas Patent Examiner Art Unit 3723

dbt